

CHO  
"Mobile Communication Devices With  
Quick-Send Features And Methods Therefor"  
Atty. Docket No. CS11122

Appl. No. 09/941,265  
Confirm. No. 7226  
Examiner M. Genack  
Art Unit 2645

## REMARKS

### Request for Reconsideration, Informal Matters, Claims Pending

The non-final Office Action mailed on 30 June 2005 has been considered carefully. Reconsideration of the claimed invention in view of the amendments above and the discussion below is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-19 are pending.

### Allowability of Claims Over Jang, Valimaa & Tiilikainen

#### Rejection Summary

Claims 1-2, 5 and 12 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Publication No. 2002/0091754 (Jang) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,926,769 (Valimaa). Office Action, 30 June 2005.

Claims 3-4, 6-8, 13-17 and 18 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Publication No. 2002/0091754 (Jang) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,710,810 (Tiilikainen). Id.

Claims 10 and 11 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Publication No. 2002/0091754 (Jang). Id.

Claim 19 stands rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jang in view of Tiilikainen and U.S. Publication No 2003/0017839. Id.

Claim 9 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Publication No. 2002/0091754 (Jang). Office Action, 30 June 2005.

CHO  
"Mobile Communication Devices With  
Quick-Send Features And Methods Therefor"  
Atty. Docket No. CS11122

Appl. No. 09/941,265  
Confirm. No. 7226  
Examiner M. Genack  
Art Unit 2645

The Examiner has objected to the Declaration for alleged failure to establish conception prior to the effective date of Jang and failure to establish diligence.

Conception; Discussion of Applicant's 37 CFR 1.131 Declaration

The basis for the Examiner's assertion that conception has not been established is that Applicant's invention disclosure document

... does not disclose Internet enabled mobile wireless communication devices, not anything related to the Internet in general.

Claims 9-11 relate to an Internet enabled wireless communication device that associates an Internet address with at least one key input on the device and transmitting the stored Internet address from the device by entering the key input associated therewith and maintaining the key input for a minimum input time interval. Claim 19 specifies that one of a plurality of communications addresses includes an "Internet address".

The invention disclosure teaches generally the association of different types of wireless address information (i.e., home, cell, pager, and office telephone numbers) with one or more specific speed dial keys, wherein transmission is effected by maintaining the last key input for a minimum input time interval. While the disclosure may not make explicit reference to Internet devices and Internet addresses, Internet capable cellular telephones were known generally at least as early as the effective date of Jang. Moreover, the general teaching of associating different wireless addresses with input keys fully encompasses the association of an Internet address with an input key. On

CHO  
"Mobile Communication Devices With  
Quick-Send Features And Methods Therefor"  
Atty. Docket No. CS11122

Appl. No. 09/941,265  
Confirm. No. 7226  
Examiner M. Genack  
Art Unit 2645

this basis, Applicant believes that the original invention disclosure documentation supports conception of the subject matter of Claim 9-11 and Claim 19 prior to the effective date of Jang. Kindly withdraw the objection to Applicant's Declaration for failure to establish application of the invention to Internet enabled wireless communication devices.

Diligence; Discussion of Representative's 37 CFR 1.131 Declaration

The undersigned representative has submitted herewith a Supplemental Declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 establishing diligence between the effective date 26 December 2000 of the Jang reference and the priority filing date 30 March 2001 of the instant application. The Declaration establishes that the undersigned had a docket with reasonable number of backlog cases that were prepared expeditiously and in chronological order during the critical period. The Supplemental Declaration is therefore believed to establish diligence required under 37 CFR 1.131 to antedate the Jang reference. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and 35 U.S. C. 103(a) based on Jang are therefore overcome. Kindly withdraw the allegation that Applicant filed to establish diligence.

In light of the 37 CFR 1.131 Declarations discussed above, Jang is not prior art. Kindly withdraw the rejections based upon Jang.

Allowability of Claims Over Joglekar

Rejection Summary

CHO  
"Mobile Communication Devices With  
Quick-Send Features And Methods Therefor"  
Atty. Docket No. CS11122

Appl. No. 09/941,265  
Confirm. No. 7226  
Examiner M. Genack  
Art Unit 2645

Claims 1-2, 5 and 12 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,535,258 (Joglekar). Office Action, 30 June 2005.

Claims 3-4, 6-8 and 13-17 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being anticipated by Joglekar in view of Tiilikainen. Id.

Claim 19 stands rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being anticipated by Joglekar in view of Tiilikainen and Mager. Id.

The Examiner asserts curiously that the

... last key input [of Joglekar] must be maintained for a certain time interval, for if a key is depressed for a short enough interval, the processor to which it is connected will not detect said key depression.

#### Allowability of Claim 1

Regarding Claim 1, contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Joglekar fails to disclose or suggest a

... method for sending information stored in a wireless communication device, comprising:  
    associating information stored on the wireless communication device with sequential inputs from an input key on the wireless communication device,  
    the sequential inputs including a first input and a last input;  
    transmitting stored information from the wireless communication device by entering sequential inputs associated therewith and maintaining the last input thereof for a minimum input time interval.

Joglekar merely discloses speed dialing by depressing one or more input keys associated with a particular number. Contrary to the

CHO  
"Mobile Communication Devices With  
Quick-Send Features And Methods Therefor"  
Atty. Docket No. CS11122

Appl. No. 09/941,265  
Confirm. No. 7226  
Examiner M. Genack  
Art Unit 2645

Examiner's assertion, Joglekar does not disclose or suggest "...maintaining the last input thereof for a minimum input time interval" as recited in Claim 1. At col. 10: 20-28, Joglekar discloses that depression of key actuates a switch that causes a processor to initiate automatic dialing. Joglekar does not disclose any "maintaining" of the input beyond what is necessary to actuate the switch. Moreover, "... maintaining last input thereof for a minimum input time interval" is not inherent in Joglekar. Maintaining requires depressing the key for longer than is required to actuate the switch. Joglekar discloses no more than actuating the switch. Claim 1 is thus patentably distinguished over Joglekar.

#### Allowability of Claim 5

Regarding Claim 5, contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Joglekar fails to disclose or suggest an

... method for communicating from a mobile wireless communication device, comprising:  
    associating a communication address stored on the mobile wireless communication device with sequential key inputs on the mobile wireless communication device,  
    the sequential key inputs including a first key input and a last key input;  
    transmitting a stored communication address from the mobile wireless communication device by entering sequential inputs associated therewith and maintaining the last key input for a minimum input time interval.

Joglekar merely discloses speed dialing by depressing one or more input keys associated with a particular number. Contrary to the

CHO  
"Mobile Communication Devices With  
Quick-Send Features And Methods Therefor"  
Atty. Docket No. CS11122

Appl. No. 09/941,265  
Confirm. No. 7226  
Examiner M. Genack  
Art Unit 2645

Examiner's assertion, Joglekar does not disclose or suggest "...maintaining the last input thereof for a minimum input time interval" as recited in Claim 5. At col. 10: 20-28, Joglekar discloses that depression of key actuates a switch that causes a processor to initiate automatic dialing. Joglekar does not disclose any "maintaining" of the input beyond what is necessary to actuate the switch. Moreover, "... maintaining last input thereof for a minimum input time interval" is not inherent in Joglekar. Maintaining requires depressing the key for longer than is required to actuate the switch. Joglekar discloses no more than actuating the switch. Claim 5 is thus patentably distinguished over Joglekar.

#### Allowability of Claim 12

Regarding Claim 12, contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Joglekar fails to disclose or suggest a

- ... mobile wireless communication device, comprising:
  - a processor;
  - a memory for storing a plurality of communication addresses;
  - an input pad having a plurality of input keys;
    - means for associating a first communication address stored in memory with first and second sequential inputs of one of the input keys,
    - means for transmitting the first communication address from the mobile wireless communication device upon entering the sequential key inputs associated therewith and upon maintaining a last of the sequential key inputs for a predetermined time interval.

CHO  
"Mobile Communication Devices With  
Quick-Send Features And Methods Therefor"  
Atty. Docket No. CS11122


Appl. No. 09/941,265  
Confirm. No. 7226  
Examiner M. Genack  
Art Unit 2645

Joglekar merely discloses speed dialing by depressing one or more input keys associated with a particular number. Contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Joglekar does not disclose or suggest "...maintaining the last input thereof for a minimum input time interval" as recited in Claim 12. At col. 10: 20-28, Joglekar discloses that depression of key actuates a switch that causes a processor to initiate automatic dialing. Joglekar does not disclose any "maintaining" of the input beyond what is necessary to actuate the switch. Moreover, "... maintaining last input thereof for a minimum input time interval" is not inherent in Joglekar. Maintaining requires depressing the key for longer than is required to actuate the switch. Joglekar discloses no more than actuating the switch. Claim 12 is thus patentably distinguished over Joglekar.

### Prayer For Relief

In view of the amendments and the discussion above, the Claims of the present application are in condition for allowance. Kindly withdraw any rejections and objections and allow this application to issue as a United States Patent without further delay.

Respectfully submitted,



ROLAND K. BOWLER II 30 SEPT. 2005  
REG. NO. 33,477

MOTOROLA, INC.  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. (RKB)  
600 NORTH U.S. HIGHWAY 45, AN475  
LIBERTYVILLE, ILLINOIS 60048

TELEPHONE NO. (847) 523-3978  
FACSIMILE NO. (847) 523-2350